

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,443	04/12/2004	Jeffrey Duncan Watters	67602.000003	1433
21967	7590 01/15/2008 VILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			GOODEN JR, BARRY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20006-1109	3616		
				· <u>· </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/822,443	WATTERS, JEFFREY DUNCAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BARRY J. GOODEN JR	3616		

	BARRY J. GOODEN JR	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	, ,	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	bliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
<u> </u>	hukudank kha daka affilian a balaf	ما اموسوفوس ما فعمد الثنيد				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in beto 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			1110 133003 101			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		colou ciairis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 						
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-21 and 34-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bestern on an Aberdaka of Elican - Ni	ation of Annual will ma	t ha antoured			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Quell	$\int \int \int \partial u$			
		RUTH ILA	N			
		PRIMARY EXA				
		1/10/00	7			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed January 7, 2008 have been considered but are not persuasive. Examiner maintains the previous rejection is proper. Further, the examiner addressed the applicant's arguments in regards to the "substitute" elements. The claims elected are product-by-process claims, as such only the final product is germane to the issue of patentability. The rejection of claim 37 under 35 U.S.C. 112 has been withdrawn.